

引用文献

- Abbott, A., Cyranoski, D., Jones, N., Maher, B., Schiermeier, O., & van Noorden, R. (2010). Metrics: Do metrics matter? *Nature*, 465, 860-862. doi: 10.1038/465860a
- 暁なつめ・三嶋くろね (2021) アクシズ教教義 この素晴らしき世界に祝福を！
 アクシズ教徒よ、集合せよ！このファン LIVE！ #16 KADOKAWA・このすば製作委員会・映画このすば製作委員会
- Anonymity. (2021). Summary report of journal operations, 2020. *American Psychologist*, 76, 827-828. doi: 10.1037/amp0000884
- Baker, M. (2016). 1,500 scientists lift the lid on reproducibility. *Nature*, 533, 452-454. doi:10.1038/533452a
- Basson, I., Blanckenberg, J. P., & Prozesky, H. (2021). Do open access journal articles experience a citation advantage? Results and methodological reflections of an application of multiple measures to an analysis by WoS subject areas. *Scientometrics*, 126, 459-484. doi: 10.1007/s11192-020-03734-9
- Begley, C. G., & Ellis, L. M. (2012). Drug development: Raise standards for preclinical cancer research. *Nature*, 483, 531-533. doi: 10.1038/483531a
- Bordignon, F. (2020). Self-correction of science: a comparative study of negative citations and post-publication peer review. *Scientometrics*, 124, 1225-1239. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03536-z>
- Cyranoski, D., Gilbert, N., & Ledford, H. (2011). The PhD factory. *Nature*, 472, 276-279. doi:10.1038/472276a
- Fang, F. C., Steen, R. G., & Casadevall, A. (2012). Misconduct accounts for the majority of retracted scientific publications. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109, 17028-17033. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1212247109
- Franco, A., Malhotra, N., & Simonovits, G. (2014). Publication bias in the social sciences: Unlocking the file drawer. *Science*, 345, 1502-1505. doi: 10.1126/science.1255484
- Hall, S. A., & Wilcox, A. J. (2007). The fate of epidemiologic manuscripts: A study of papers submitted to epidemiology. *Epidemiology*, 18, 262-265. doi: 10.1097/01.ede.0000254668.63378.32
- Ikegami, T., & Ganesh, G. (2018). Watching novice action degrades expert motor

- performance: Causation between action production and outcome prediction of observed actions by humans. *Scientific Reports*, 4, 6989. doi:10.1038/srep06989
- Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2005). Why most published research findings are false. *PLoS Medicine*, 2(8), e124. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0020124
- John, L. K., Loewenstein, G., & Prelec, D. (2012). Measuring the prevalence of questionable research practices with incentives for truth telling. *Psychological Science*, 23, 524-532. doi: 10.1177/0956797611430953
- Kardas, M., & O'Brien, E. (2018). Easier seen than done: Merely watching others perform can foster an illusion of skill acquisition. *Psychological Science*, 29, 521-536. doi: 10.1177/0956797617740646
- Klebel, T., Reichmann, S., Polka, J., McDowell, G., Penfold, N., Hindle, S., & Ross-Hellauer, T. (2020). Peer review and preprint policies are unclear at most major journals. *PloS ONE*, 15(10), e0239518. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0239518
- 小松桃花 (2016) めぐみん爆裂魔法詠唱 この素晴らしき世界に祝福を！ この中二病に爆焰を！ 第 1 期第 2 話 暁なつめ・三嶋くろね・KADOKAWA・このすば製作委員会
- Marcus, A., & Retraction Watch (2017). Pay up or retract? Survey creator's demands for money rile some health researchers. *Science*. Sep. 12, 2017. doi:10.1126/science.aap9445
- Mercier, E., Tardif, P., Moore, L., le Sage, N., & Cameron, P. A. (2018). Invitations received from potential predatory publishers and fraudulent conferences: a 12-month early-career researcher experience. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 94, 104-108. doi: 10.1136/postgradmedj-2017-135097
- 文部科学省・科学技術・学術政策研究所・第 1 調査研究グループ (2014) ポストドクター等の雇用・進路に関する調査—大学・公的研究機関への全数調査 (2012 年度実績) —調査資料-232 文部科学省 科学技術・学術政策局 人材政策課 Retrieved from <https://www.nistep.go.jp/wp/wp-content/uploads/NISTEP-RM232-FullJ1.pdf>
- Mustaine, E. E., & Tewksbury, R. (2016). A survey of social science Journal editors for behind-the-scenes data on the publication process. *Journal of Scholarly Publishing*, 47, 231-249. doi: 10.3138/jsp.47.3.231
- Nature Publishing Group (2014). Nature Communications Citation analysis. Retrieved from http://www.nature.com/press_releases/ncomms-report2014.pdf

- Nature Publishing Group & Palgrave Macmillan (2015). The 2015 Author Insights Survey. Retrieved from https://s3-eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/pfigshare-ufiles/2214482/SUMMARY_2015AuthorInsightsSurvey2015Aug17.pdf
- 日本学術振興会 (2020) 令和 3 年度科学研究費助成事業公募要領 (特別推進研究、基盤研究 (S・A・B・C)、挑戦的研究 (開拓・萌芽)、若手研究) 応募書類の様式・記入要領 日本学術振興会
- Offutt, J. (2016). STVR policy on extending conference papers to journal submissions. *Software Testing, Verification and Reliability*, 26, 274-275. doi: 10.1002/stvr.1606
- Offutt, J. (2016). How to extend a conference paper to a journal paper. *Software Testing, Verification and Reliability*, 26, 496-497. doi: 10.1002/stvr.1623.
- Park, I., Peacey, M. W., & Munafo, M. R. (2014). Modelling the effects of subjective and objective decision making in scientific peer review. *Nature*, 506, 93-96. doi: 10.1038/nature12786
- Powell, K. (2016). Does it take too long to publish research? *Nature*, 530, 148-151. doi: 10.1038/530148a
- Russo, G. (2010). For love and money. *Nature*, 465, 1104-1107. doi: 10.1038/4651006a
- Schroter, S., Tite, L., Hutchings, A., & Black, A. (2006). Differences in review quality and recommendations for publication between peer reviewers suggested by authors or by editors. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 295, 314-317. doi: 10.1001/jama.295.3.314
- Serra-Garcia, M., & Gneezy, U. (2021). Nonreplicable publications are cited more than replicable ones. *Science Advances*, 7, eabd1705. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abd1705
- Springer Nature. (2021). Going for gold: exploring the reach and impact of Gold open access articles in hybrid journals. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.16860229> (This white paper has been made openly available in the figshare repository under a CC BY 4.0 licence)
- van Balen, B., van Arensbergen, P., van der Weijden, I., & van den Besselaar, P. (2012). Determinants of success in academic careers. *Higher Education Policy*, 25, 313-334. doi:10.1057/hep.2012.14
- van Dijk, D., Manor, O., & Carey, L. B. (2014). Publication metrics and success on the academic job market. *Current Biology*, 24, R516-R517. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.04.039

- Vance, E. (2010). Scientist as star. *Nature*, 468, 365-366. doi: 10.1038/468365a
- Wager, E., Parkin, E. C., & Tamber, P. S. (2006). Are reviewers suggested by authors as good as those chosen by editors? Results of a rater-blinded, retrospective study. *BMC Medicine*, 4, 13. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-4-13
- Wilhite, A. W., & Fong, F. A. (2012). Coercive citation in academic publishing. *Science*, 335, 542-543. doi: 10.1126/science.1212540
- Wren, J. D., Valencia, A., & Kelso, J. (2019). Reviewer-coerced citation: Case report, update on journal policy, and suggestions for future prevention. *Bioinformatics*, btz071. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz071